

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,493	09/19/2003	Kuo-Tang Hsu	N1085-90162	8017
54657	7590 01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
DUANE M	ORRIS LLP	MACARTHUR, SYLVIA		
	MENT (TSMC) 7TH STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	PHIA, PA 19103-4196		1763	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/666,493	HSU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sylvia R. MacArthur	1763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	November 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 and 29 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-28</u> is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 29</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
		•	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 LLS C & 119/a)_(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document		<i>j</i> -(a) or (i).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No.					
	_						
application from the International Burea	•		· ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	(PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	5) Dotice of Informal F		D-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/666,493 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 5,7,10, 12, 13, 18, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jeong (US 6,228,211).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 5, 12, 18, and 29:

Jeong teaches a tank 1, a drain

opening 18, and regulating means (bubbling plate 3 comprising openings 28). See Fig.1.

Regarding claims 3 and 13:

Jeong further teaches the use of DI water as

element 13 in Fig.1.

Regarding claim 7:

Jeong teaches that the bubbling plate

comprises slats and openings see Fig. 2a.

Regarding claim 10:

Jeong teaches that the slats 23 cover the

drain openings.

Regarding the limitation of claim 1 that the regulating means control the downward draining rate and down draining direction of the fluid, the apparatus of Jeong anticipates this in that fluid flows up through plate 3 when entering the tank thru tube 8 and down through the plate when exiting tube 18.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,493 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeong in view of Shindo et al.

The teachings of Jeong were discussed above.

Jeong fails to teach that the regulating means is made of PEEK.

Shindo et al teaches a wet etching apparatus wherein the cassette 21 is made of PEEK in col. 7 lines 15-18. Shindo et al further details the optimal physical properties of PEEK that would motivate one to use it as a material of construction in the wet etching environment. Based on this citation it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to construct the regulating means of PEEK.

5. Claims 9, 11, 14, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeong in view of Sonoda et al (US 6,616,774).

The teachings of Jeong were discussed above.

Jeong fails to teach angling the slats with respect to the regulating plate.

Sonoda et al teaches a wet etching apparatus wherein a rectifying means has openings and angled rods (flow ports 24). See Fig. 1 and col. 4 lines 46-53.

These rods are inclined with respect to the bottom of the tank 20.

The motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Jeong and Sonoda et al is that the inclining helps the contaminants to drain in a more controlled fashion and faster from the tank see col. 2 lines 45-63. Thus, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to combine the teachings of Jeong and Sonoda et al to angle the slats and openings of the regulating means to control the direction and rate of outlet flow.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed November 8, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Jeong teaches a regulating means 3 wherein the fluid flows through the plate in two directions. The holes and slats are provided to control the downward rate and the downward direction of the flow along with the force of gravity as the drain is located at the bottom of the tank.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/666,493 Page 5

Art Unit: 1763

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sylvia R. MacArthur whose telephone number is 571-272-1438. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during the core hours of 9 a.m. and 3 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sylvia R MacArthur Patent Examiner Art Unit 1763

January 23, 2006

PARVIZ HASSANZADEH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER